



204

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32097-2025

Date of Decision: 26.06.2025

ROHIT HEERA

...PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner (Through V.C.).

Mr. Ravneet Singh Joshi, Deputy, A.G., Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of BNS, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.195 dated 12.09.2024, registered under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 132, 220, 109, 351(1)(3), 191(3), 190 BNS, Section 25, 54, 59 Arms Act & Section 21 NDPS Act, Police Station Sadar, District Ludhiana.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that a police party had illegally entered the house of the petitioner on the pretext of searching Munish Kumar, who was required in some criminal matter in the State of Haryana. The police party not only extend threats to the family members of the petitioner, but also fired shots to intimidate the family members of the petitioner. Later on, just to save themselves from a criminal prosecution, 57 grams of heroine was planted on Vijay Kumar, co-accused. Further, no police official had suffered



any injury in the present case. He further contends that the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 12.09.2024 by the police and is in custody since then. He has further relied upon the order Annexure P-3 (collectively) passed by this Court in CRM-M-6293-2025, CRM-M-15859-2025 and CRM-M-28514-2025, whereby the concession of bail was granted by this Court to Mohit Kumar @ Mohit Kumar Hira, Vijay Kumar and Madan Lal.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

5. The petitioner is in custody since 12.09.2024 and challan has already been presented against the petitioner. Even no person was injured in the alleged incident. Moreover, similarly placed co-accused namely Mohit Kumar @ Mohit Kumar Hira, Vijay Kumar and Madan Lal have been admitted to bail by this Court vide order Annexures P-3 (collectively). Thus, the further custody of the petitioner will not serve any meaningful purpose.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail pending trial on his furnishing bail bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/ Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate.

26.06.2025
vipin

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No