

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

2025.PHHC.101592



(207)

CRM-M-32096-2025  
Decided on : 07.08.2025

Gagandeep

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL**

Present: Mr. Arpandeep Narula, Advocate  
for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Sumeet Goel (Oral):**

1. Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.40 dated 23.01.2024 registered for offences punishable under Section 25 of Arms Act at Police Station Kalanwali, District Sirsa; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., seeking pre-arrest bail.

2. On 11.07.2025, the following order was passed:

*“Status report by way of an affidavit of Sandeep Singh, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Kalanwali, District Sirsa has been filed on behalf of respondent-State. The same be taken on record.*

*Inter alia contends that the petitioner is primarily implicated into the FIR in question on account of a disclosure statement, no further recovery has been made from the petitioner pursuant to such disclosure statement & the petitioner is willing to join the investigation and cooperate therein.*

*Adjourned to 07.08.2025.*

*The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 15.07.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.”*

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions from ASI Shiv Kumar) has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation and he is not required for further custodial interrogation.

4. Keeping in view the factual milieu of the case in hand, especially the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and he is not required for further custodial interrogation, the petition is allowed and the order dated 11.07.2025 granting interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is hereby made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

7. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

August 07, 2025  
*Nuveen*

(SUMEET GOEL)  
JUDGE

|                             |        |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable :        | Yes/No |