



CRWP-6260-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

118

CRWP-6260-2025

Date of decision : 31.07.2025

BXXXX KXX and Anr.

..... Petitioners

V/S

State of Punjab and Ors.

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: Mr. Yashpal Thakur, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, Assistant A.G., Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioners have filed present criminal writ petition under Article 226/227 of Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No. 2 to 5 to protect their life and liberty from the hands of private respondents No.6 to 10 who are pressurizing them to withdraw/compromise in FIR No.28 dated 22.02.2025, under Section 64 of BNS 2023, registered at Police Station Division No. 6, Police Commissionerate Jalandhar or to transfer the investigation or to be done by some senior officer of Punjab Police or any other direction which the court may deem fit in the given facts and circumstances of the case.

2. Learned counsel for petitioner pointed out that complaint has been filed to Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehgarh Sahib dated 26.02.2025 (Annexure P-4) and another application dated 17.03.2025 to DGP Punjab, Chandigarh (Annexure P-5) but no action has been taken.

3. Notice of motion.

**CRWP-6260-2025****-2-**

4. On advance notice, Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, Assistant A.G., Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of State. He pointed out that representations given by the petitioners will be considered by the competent authority and proper action will be taken.

5. As per the memo of parties, petitioners are residents of District Fatehgarh Sahib. In this petition, they are seeking protection of their life and liberty and for the harassment faced by them in order to compel them to withdraw/compromise FIR No.28 dated 22.02.2025, registered at Police Station Division No. 6, Police Commissionerate, Jalandhar. Therefore, Respondents No.2 to 5 are directed to look into the representations given by petitioners as referred above and after considering the threat perception, appropriate steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and in addition to this, respondent No. 3 is further directed to look into the allegations levelled by the petitioners regarding harassment faced by them for withdrawal/compromise of aforesaid FIR.

6. With the aforesaid direction, petition is accordingly disposed of.

7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

31.07.2025.*Sunil Devi*

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No