



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

215

**CRWP No.6209 of 2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision :17.06.2025**

Purva and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**Present: Mr. Akun Sheemar, Advocate for the petitioners.****Mr. P.K.Longia, DAG, Haryana.****Mr. Mohit Jasuja, Advocate for respondents No.4 to 7.****VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J. (Oral):**

This petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7 and not to interfere and harass the petitioners and to direct the official respondents to ensure the security of life and liberty of the petitioners.

2. On 11.06.2025, the following order was passed:-

“This petition has been filed for seeking a direction to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners have attained majority and now petitioner No. 1, aged 18 years and petitioner No. 2, aged 25 years have solemnized marriage on 6.6.2025. They have placed on record the marriage certificate (Annexure P-3) and copy of the Aadhar cards (Annexures P-1 and P-2) to substantiate their claim.

Notice of motion for 17.6.2025.

Mr. Brijesh Sharma, AAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

Mr. Mohit Jasuja, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No. 4 and files his power of attorney, which is taken on record. He opposes the prayer made in the instant petition



and submits that the petitioner No. 1 has been forcibly abducted by petitioner No. 2.

Notice to the remaining respondents be also issued for the date fixed.

Both the petitioners are directed to come present in Court on the date fixed.

In the meanwhile, if any threat perception is found to the petitioners, the official respondents would provide them adequate security, if required, in order to protect their life and liberty.”

3. Today, in deference to the said order, petitioners are present.

4. Learned counsel for the private respondents submits that since petitioner No.1, though a major is incapable of taking decision as regards her future, she should be sent to the Nari Niketan.

5. Mr. P.K.Longia, DAG, Haryana, submits that the statement of Viky (respondent No.4) Neelam (respondent No.5) and Nirmal (respondent No.7) have been recorded, as per which, there would be no threat to life and liberty of the petitioners.

6. I have interacted with the petitioners. They have categorically stated that they wish to live together and petitioner No.1 does not wish to be sent to Nari Niketan.

7. I have considered the totality of the facts and circumstances especially the fact that the petitioners are majors, I do not, therefore, deem it appropriate to send petitioner No.1 to the Nari Niketan.

8. In view of the above and keeping in view the statements given by the private respondents before the authorities, no further orders are required to be passed and the present petition is accordingly disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

17.06.2025

Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No