



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-32292-2025
DECIDED ON: 26.09.2025**

RAJNESH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.

Present: Ms. Manisha, Advocate, for
Mr. Sandeep Gors, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Ashima Attri, Advocate, for
Mr. K.S. Nalwa, Advocate, for the complainant.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. On 04.08.2025, following order was passed by this Court:-

“1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioner, seeking grant of anticipatory bail, in case bearing FIR No.0231, dated 17.04.2025, under Section(s) 406/420 of IPC, registered at Police Station Sector 32-33, District Karnal.

2. Mr. Sandeep Gors, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of the petitioner, and files his power of attorney in Court today, which is taken on record.

3. Counsel for the petitioner submits that there is a dispute concerning an amount of Rs. 30–31 lakhs, which the petitioner had obtained as a personal loan. However, it is alleged that complainant has lodged an FIR by twisting the facts.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that, in order to resolve the dispute amicably, petitioner is willing to repay the said amount to the complainant, and is ready to appear before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court. Thus, prayer is made for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case.

5. *On the other hand, learned counsel for the complainant submits that, at this stage, complainant is willing to consider the proposal made by the petitioner's counsel, subject to petitioner demonstrating bona fide intention to repay the amount in question.*

6. *Accordingly, let both the petitioner and the complainant appear before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on 18.08.2025.*

7. *List again on 22.09.2025, awaiting report.*

8. *In the meanwhile, petitioner shall not be arrested.*

However, it is clarified that this interim protection is granted solely to facilitate the petitioner's appearance before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre, for the purpose of resolving the dispute, amicably."

2. As per the report received from the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, dispute could not be settled.

3. Today, on the very outset, counsel for the petitioner submits petitioner has already been arrested in some other criminal case. Therefore, she seeks withdrawal of the present petition.

4. In view of the statement made by counsel for the petitioner, present petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

26.09.2025

Lavisha

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>