



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

209

CWP-22857-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 11.07.2025

Surender

...Petitioner

VERSUS

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. A.K. Singh Goyat, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Challenge in the present petition is to the order dated 02.08.2018 passed by respondent No.3 whereby the contractual service of the petitioner has been illegally terminated only to make way for the engagement of other contractual employees, the instant writ petition has been filed.

2. Written statement of Mr. Puneet Rai, Executive Engineer, Jind Water Services Division, Jind, on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 has been filed wherein it has been specifically averred that the petitioner was engaged on the post of Peon under the Haryana Outsourcing Policy, 2015, Part-I for six months. Subsequently, he was granted an extension up to 28.02.2017. He was later engaged under the Outsourcing Policy Part-II for 06 months or till regular selected candidates were appointed, whichever was earlier, vide letter dated 23.02.2017 issued by the Superintending Engineer, Yamuna



Water Services Circle, Jind. The last extension in service was given for 30.06.2018. He was discontinued as regular recruitment to Class-IV had been done by the State Government. It is contended that the respondent-department has not made any appointment to the post of Peon on contract basis and contractual appointment is only with respect to the Canal Patwari under Part-I, i.e. through an outsourcing agency. A specific averment had been made that all the sanctioned posts of Peon in Yamuna Water Services Circle, Jind and its subordinate office of Executive Engineer, Jind, stand filled up and no person has been engaged under the outsourcing policy.

3. No rejoinder controverting the said factual assertion has been filed despite a lapse of nearly 2 ½ years.

4. In view of the above, since the grounds of challenge to the order dated 02.08.2018 do not subsist any further, the present writ petition is accordingly **dismissed**.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

11.07.2025

Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No