



CRM-M-32132-2025

2

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and has opposed the prayer for concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner on the ground that there are four more cases of similar nature pending against him.

6. Mr. Aayush, Advocate appears and filed his Vakalatnama on behalf of the complainant in the Court today and the same is taken on record.

7. I have heard the submissions made by the parties and gone through the record.

8. Keeping in view the facts of the present case, perusal of the FIR and the investigation conducted so far clearly reveals that the petitioner along with the main accused cheated the complainant on the pretext of sending abroad. The petitioner is a habitual offender with four other cases of similar nature registered against him so the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary, no ground is made out to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

9. It is made clear that anything stated hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial court shall decide the case on the basis of material available before it.

05.08.2025

renu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No