



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

208-2

CRM-M-31974-2025(O&M)

Date of Decision: 17.09.2025

KANWAL PREET SINGH KAMAS

....Petitioner

VS

UT CHANDIGARH

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate and
Mr. Nitesh Ghangaria, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, PP, U.T., Chandigarh.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. On 21.07.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.42, dated 10.04.2025, under Sections 318(4),61(2) of B.N.S and Section 24 of Immigration Act, registered at Police Station Central Sector-17, District Chandigarh (Annexure P-2).

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it has been falsely alleged that the petitioner had received a sum of Rs.1,40,000/- and without admitting his guilt, learned counsel for the petitioner has voluntarily agreed to deposit the amount i.e. Rs.1,40,000/- with the Trial Court/Area Magistrate within a period of two weeks from today, just to show his bona fides.

On the other hand learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and the present petition deserves to be dismissed by this Court.



At this stage, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation. In the event of arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer subject to the conditions provided under Section 482(2) of B.N.S.S.

Further, the petitioner is also directed to deposit an amount of Rs.1,40,000/- with the Area Magistrate/Trial Court within a period of 02 weeks from today, subject to outcome of the trial. The concerned Court is also directed to deposit the said amount in a fixed deposit in a nationalized bank, fetching maximum rate of interest, during the course of trial.

In case, the amount is not deposited as directed above, the present petition shall be deemed to be dismissed.

Adjourned to 17.09.2025.”

2. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

3. Learned State counsel on instructions affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 21.07.2025 granting interim bail to him, is



hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section **438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.**

5. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

17.09.2025
Deepak Patwal

- | | | |
|----|----------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. | <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| 2. | <i>Whether reportable</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |