

2025:PHHC:104123



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.218

CRM-M-32158-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision : 12.8.2025

Karam Singh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present: Mr. Inderjeet Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Brijesh Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

KIRTI SINGH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS, 2023), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No.109 dated 20.5.2025, under Sections 115, 3(5), 351 & 76 of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Chhachhrauli, District Yamuna Nagar.

2. Vide order dated 8.7.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner was directed to join investigation and the same is reproduced below:-

“CRM-25545-2025

Application for placing on record the statement of Ravel Singh (Annexure P-7) given to Police

Allowed, as prayed for.

Main Case

Apprehending arrest the petitioner has filed this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short "BNSS") for grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.109 dated 20.05.2025, under Sections 115, 3(5), 351 and 76 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNS'), registered at Police Station Chhachhrauli, District Yamuna Nagar.

2025:PHHC:104123



2. *Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present FIR, alleging that he had physically assaulted the complainant and tried to outrage her modesty. However, in actuality it was the son of the complainant who had given beatings to the petitioner and his son, as can be seen by the video recorded in the CD at an Annexure P-2. Support in this regard is also placed on the MLR of the petitioner at an Annexure P-5, which shows that the petitioner had suffered 8 simple injuries. It is further submitted that infact, instant FIR is a counterblast to a previous FIR lodged by the petitioner against the relatives of the complainant nearly one year prior to the alleged occurrence in the instant case. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner is a man of clean antecedents and he is ready to join investigation as and when required.*

3: *Status report dated 07.07.2025 filed by learned State counsel is taken on record. Learned State counsel while relying upon the contents of the status report has submitted that in view of the serious allegations levelled against the petitioner, he is not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.*

4. *List on 12.08.2025.*

5. *In the meantime, arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed. He shall join investigation before the Investigating Agency/Officer and shall also abide by the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS:-*


1) *That the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required to do so.*

2) *That the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.*

3) *That the petitioner shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”*

3. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI-Manjit Kaur submits that in compliance of order dated 8.7.2025, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation.

4. Having considered the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petition is allowed. Order dated 8.7.2025 passed by this Court, is hereby made absolute.



5. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting the petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
6. This order does not in any manner limit or restrict the rights or duties of the police or investigating agency to investigate into the charges against the petitioner(s).
7. The accused-petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him or her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.
8. The accused-petitioner(s) shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.
9. The accused-petitioner(s) shall join the investigation as and when called by the police.
10. It will be open to the police or the investigating agency to move to this Court for a direction under Section 483(3) of BNSS, 2023 (erstwhile Section 439(2) of Cr.P.C.) to arrest the accused-petitioner(s), in the event of violation of any term, such as absconding, non-cooperating during investigation, evasion, intimidation or inducement to witnesses with a view to influence outcome of the investigation or trial.

Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

12.8.2025
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No