



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32063-2025

Date of Decision:05.08.2025

Jaspal Singh @ Sonu

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Karanjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate
for the complainant.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner prays for grant of pre-arrest bail in a criminal case arising from FIR No.85, dated 09.05.2025, registered under Section 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 191(3), 190, 351(2), 61(2), 134 (Section 117(2) and 303(2) added later on) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, at Police Station B-Division, District Amritsar.

2. While granting the concession of interim anticipatory bail on 11.06.2025, the following contentions were noticed by this Court and the same have been reproduced below:-

“The learned counsel representing the petitioner inter-alia contends that the petitioner is sought to be falsely implicated by showing self inflicted injuries on little finger of the servant of the first informant. He submits that in fact the petitioner is sought to be falsely implicated on account of pendency

of two FIRs registered in the State of Uttar Pradesh by the petitioner's relative against the first informant and his brother. Moreover, there is a delay of 4 days in alleging the FIR.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has reiterated the submissions and further submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

4. Learned State counsel also submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. On the other hand learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner is not co-operating, during the process of investigation.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully

7. In view of the above statement made by learned counsel for the parties, the interim order dated 11.06.2025 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.

05.08.2025

hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

:
:

Yes/No
Yes/No