



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

248

CRM-M-32125 of 2025
Date of decision: 24.07.2025

Dunda alias Nanak and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present: Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Advocate, with
Ms. Indu Bala Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ram Bilas Gupta, Advocate, for the complainant.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners are seeking regular bail under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 in case FIR No.104 dated 23.04.2025, under Sections 351(3), 191(3), 190, 118(2), 118(1), 115 of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Chand Hut, District Palwal.
2. The case of the prosecution is that petitioners along with their co-accused have caused injuries to the complainant and when he raised his hand to rescue himself, then fingers of his hand got chopped and inspite of that, *farsa* hit on his head. The injury to his fingers has been classified as grievous in nature. However, there is no specific injury has been attributed to the present petitioners.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have undergone a period of 3 months as under trial and they are not involved in any other case. He further submits that *challan* has been



presented and charges are yet to be framed. Trial is likely to take long time for its conclusion, therefore, petitioner be released on bail pending trial.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioners. He has filed the status report of DSP, Sadar Palwal, District Palwal of behalf of respondent-State and custody certificate of petitioner-Dunda in the Court today, which are taken on record. As per custody certificate, the petitioners are in custody for 3 months.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. In view of the above submissions of learned counsel for the parties and considering the custody period undergone by the petitioners and the fact that challan was presented and charges are yet to be framed; the continuous detention of the petitioners would not serve the ends of justice. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioners during the pendency of the trial.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioners are ordered to be released on regular bail on their furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.



9. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioners misuse the concession of bail, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of their bail.

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

24.07.2025

anil

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No