**CRM-M-33723-2025 (O&M) 1****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

207

CRM-M-33723-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision:09.12.2025

Saloni Goyal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY


Present: Mr. Bipan Ghai, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. Nikhil Ghai, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Gautam Kaile, DAG Haryana
Mr. Arun Kumar Goyat, Advocate, for the complainant.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. On 23.07.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to her in case FIR No.395, dated 24.11.2024, under Sections 406 and 420 of IPC, registered at Police Station Civil Lines Jind, District Jind. (Annexure P-2).

Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the allegations levelled by the complainant in the present case are highly improbable and unbelievable. Even, the allegations pertained to the year January 2024, whereas, the FIR was got registered by the complainant on 24.11.2024. He further contends that the complainant had in fact connived with his relative Rajinder Dalal, who had already got registered one FIR No.296, dated 28.08.2024, under Sections 406,420,506 of IPC, Police Station Civil Lines Jind, District Jind against the present petitioner. He further contends that



CRM-M-33723-2025 (O&M) 2

petitioner is a young lady and deserves sympathetic consideration by this Court.

Without admitting her guilt, learned senior counsel for the petitioner has voluntarily offered to deposit a sum of Rs.30,00,000/- in the account of complainant Vinod Kumar, bearing No.50200090344077, HDFC Bank, IFSC Code HDFC0006264, Old Anaj Mandi, Jind.

On the other hand learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner had not only cheated the complainant in the present case, but an another FIR has also been registered against her. Thus, the petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

At this stage, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation. In the event of arrest, she shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer subject to the conditions provided under Section 482(2) of B.N.S.S.

The petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.30,00,000/- in the account of complainant Vinod Kumar, bearing No. 50200090344077, HDFC Bank, IFSC Code HDFC0006264, Old Anaj Mandi, Jind, from where the amount was allegedly withdrawn, in the following manner:-

- (i) She shall deposit a sum of Rs.10,00,000/- on 28.07.2025.
- (ii) She shall further deposit a sum of Rs.10,00,000/- on 08.08.2025 and the remaining amount on 18.08.2025.

Adjourned to 26.08.2025.”

2. Learned Senior Counsel submits that in compliance of order dated 23.07.2025, hands over the post dated cheques to learned counsel opposite and states that the petitioner had joined investigation twice over,

CRM-M-33723-2025 (O&M) 3

which is affirmed by learned counsel for the complainant. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, she shall make herself available without demur.

3. Learned State counsel on instructions affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 23.07.2025 granting interim bail to her, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)

5. It is made clear that as the post-dated cheques are not honoured, this petition shall be deemed to have been dismissed without any reference to the Court.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to her.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

December 09, 2025

dinesh	Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes/No