



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

124

CRWP-6034-2025**Date of decision: June 06, 2025**

Aarju and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA**Present:- Mr. R.K Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioners.****Ms. Navreet K. Barnala, AAG, Punjab.**

DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA, J.

1. Petitioners Aarju and Shubham have filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing official respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty from the hands of private respondents no. 4 & 5 and further to restrain the private respondents from interfering in their peaceful life.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. As per copy of Aadhaar Card of petitioner No. 1 (Annexure P-1), her date of birth is 17.03.2004. On the other hand, as per copy of Aadhaar Card of petitioner No.2 (Annexure P-2), his date of birth is 13.04.2007. They are in live-in relationship. Petitioners are receiving threats from respondents No. 4 & 5, who are family members of petitioner no.1, thus have filed present petition. Petitioners have also moved representation to the Senior Superintendent of Police, District SAS Nagar (Mohali), Punjab (Annexure P-3).

3. Notice of motion.

**CRWP-6034-2025****-2-**

4. On advance notice, Ms.Navreet K Barnala, AAG, Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of State. Complete copy of paper book along with supporting documents is already supplied.

5. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.

6. I have considered the facts narrated in petition, according to which both petitioners are major and are in live-in relationship against the wishes of family of petitioner No.1, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondents No.4 & 5. It is duty of police to protect life of petitioners. Therefore, respondent No.2 is directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 03.06.2025 (Annexure P-3) and take appropriate steps to protect life of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5 considering threat perception, with further direction to maintain their privacy and dignity.

7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on legality of their relationship and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.

8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE

June 06, 2025

ritu

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No