



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

109

CRM-M-31771-2025
Date of decision: 06.06.2025

Ankit Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.79 dated 27.04.2023, under Sections 452, 448, 511, 506, 148, 149, 380, 427 of IPC (Sections 323, 411 and 120-B IPC added later on), registered at Police Station, Sadar Ludhiana, District Ludhiana.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that no specific role is attributed to the petitioner in the FIR. He has only been involved in the present case as he has used his Bolero Pickup van for transporting the articles from the house of complainant.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of Court, Mr. K.D. Sachdeva, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and contends that since the petitioner had every knowledge of the stolen articles and thus, he is involved in the said act, thus, at this stage, he be not granted concession of



anticipatory bail.

5. Since, as per petitioner, he has used his Bolero Pickup van to transporting the articles from the house of complainant, therefore, he has every knowledge about the articles, recovery of which is yet to be effected for which his custodial interrogation is required. Hence, no ground is made out for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

06.06.2025

Yogesh

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:-	Yes/No