



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

108

CRM-M-31766-2025
Date of decision: 06.06.2025

Ashok Singh @ Nick Oberoi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: Mr. Lakshay Bector, Advocate for the petitioner.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.93 dated 26.06.2022, under Sections 307, 148, 149, 506 and 120-B of IPC and Sections 25, 54 and 59 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station, Division No.3, Ludhiana, District Ludhiana.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has filed a petition for grant of FIR on the basis of compromise which was dismissed as withdrawn since one of the offences in the FIR was non compoundable. He further contends that the petitioner was declared as 'proclaimed offender' and he had challenged the said order vide CRM-M-29019-2025 whereby he was declared proclaimed offender and operation of which had been stayed by this Court. He also contends that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so. He further prays that since there is possibility of compromise between the



parties, therefore, the present petition be allowed.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of Court, Mr. K.D. Sachdeva, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the State and contends that there are direct and serious allegations against the petitioner in the FIR and as per the FIR, all the accused surrounded a victim and one of them had fired two shots directly towards the son of the complainant. Therefore, he be not granted concession of anticipatory bail.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the file with their able assistance.

6. A perusal of the file would show that the petitioner was declared proclaimed offender. Although, the operation of the order was stayed by this Court vide order dated 23.05.2025 but the allegations made in the FIR are serious in nature as there are direct allegations against the petitioner. The recovery of weapon is to be effected for which the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required.

7. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, no ground is made out for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

06.06.2025

Yogesh

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-
Whether reportable:-

Yes/No
Yes/No