

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31880-2025
Date of Decision:24.07.2025

...Petitioner

Vs.

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. G.P.S Ghuman, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jasdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Lalita Kashyap, Advocate for
Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate for the complainant.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of the First Information Report, as detailed here-under:-

Name of petitioner	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Harpreet Singh	0138	15.12.2024	125, 115(2), 316(2) and 3(5) of BNS and 25 of the Arms Act.	I.T. City	SAS Nagar

2. Complainant-Ankit Pajeta, in order to purchase a car purchased has gone to Dhindsa Car Bazar, Sector 82, Mohali. After negotiation, on 29.09.2024, complainant transferred an amount of Rs. 50,000/- in the petitioner' account. Thereafter, the complainant did not like the same and demanded back his money but even after two months, the petitioner did not return the money. On 14.12.2024, petitioner started threatening the



complainant took out the pistol and fired 3-4 gun shots, out of which one of the bullet scraped his shoes, thereafter the complainant took out sword and *danda* and complainant ran away from the spot.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case as he is a young boy of 22 years of age and is only working as a salesman with Dhindsa Car Bazaar. He further submits that petitioner is not alleged to be armed with any weapon.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that the petitioner along with co-accused Mandeep Singh had attacked the complainant and allegations of causing gunshot injury to the petitioner are serious in nature and petitioner does not deserves to be extended the concession of anticipatory bail.

5. Considering the submissions addressed by respective counsel and after going the records, admittedly the incident had taken place at the office of Dhindsa Car Bazar and it is complainant party who has reached out that place where the scuffle took place on account of alleged amount of Rs.50,000/- paid by the complainant.

5. In view of the discussion made hereinabove, prayer made in the present petition is **allowed** and petitioner is directed to join the investigation within a period of two weeks from today, or as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.



6 Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

 It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.

7 Petition stands **disposed of** accordingly.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

24.07.2025

kv

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>