

2025:PHHC:062843



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

102

CWP-19269-2024 (O & M)
Date of decision: 13.05.2025

Amit

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S.Budhwar, Addl. A. G. Haryana.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel submits that arms licence of the petitioner has not been renewed on account of lodging of FIR under the Excise Act and Sections 188/270 IPC, these having no relevance with regard to the request made by him and there is no threat to the public peace and safety. Even the respectables had given statements before the SHO that arms licence of the petitioner may be renewed and prays his case be re-considered in terms thereof.

2. The aforesaid facts having been brought out, during the course of hearing, learned State counsel, on instructions from Sh. Sanjeev Nehra, Assistant, states that respondent No.3-District Magistrate, Jind, would not be averse to have a relook at the matter and decide afresh,

taking note of the aforesaid submissions, within a period of 3 months, uninfluenced by the order impugned in the present case, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner.

3. The aforesaid satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. The matter stands disposed of accordingly and if the petitioner is found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same may be passed after granting opportunity of hearing to him and shall contain reasons, whereupon he shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

13.05.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No