

2025:PHHC:062293



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

103+218

CWP-21976-2018 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 12.05.2025.

SUBASH CHANDER AND OTHERS

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Ajay Kamboj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ. J (ORAL).

CM-7196-CWP-2025

The present misc. application has been filed by the applicants-petitioners for seeking disposal of the main writ petition which was adjourned *sine die* vide order dated 13.03.2020 and ordered to be listed after the decision in **LPA No.1865 of 2015 titled as 'State of Haryana and others Vs. Parmanand and others.**

2025:PHHC:062293



Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant-petitioner submits that the aforesaid LPA No.1865 of 2015 stands decided vide order dated 05.03.2025 passed by the Division Bench and since the case of the petitioners is fully covered by the same, therefore, the present application be allowed. The main writ petition be taken on Board today itself for final hearing and may be disposed of accordingly.

Notice in the application to the learned State counsel.

Learned State counsel is not in a position to dispute the decision rendered in the aforesaid LPA.

In view of above, the present application is allowed and the main case is taken on Board today itself for final hearing.

CM-7197-CWP-2025

Application is allowed as prayed for subject to all just exceptions.

Legal heirs of deceased Sukhpal Singh (petitioner No.9) and deceased Shiv Parkash (petitioner No.11) as mentioned in para Nos.3 and 4 of the application are impleaded in the writ petition for the purpose of pursuing the present *lis*.

Amended Memo of Parties is taken on record.

The Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

2025:PHHC:062293

Main case

The instant writ petition had been filed for setting aside the orders dated 03.04.2018 declining the claim of the petitioners for granting the benefits of 2nd and 3rd ACP.

2 Counsel for the petitioners contends that similar controversy was pending before the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court in a batch of LPAs including **LPA No.1865 of 2015 titled as 'State of Haryana and others Vs. Parmanand and others'** being the lead case. The said Letters Patent Appeals preferred by the State of Haryana, have been dismissed vide judgment dated 05.03.2025.

3 Learned State counsel is not in a position to dispute the aforesaid judgment.

4 Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of in terms of **judgment dated 05.03.2025 passed in LPA No.1865 of 2015 titled as 'State of Haryana and others Vs. Parmanand and others.'**

May 12, 2025
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No