



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

201

**CRM-M No.32047 of 2025
Date of decision : 5.8.2025**

Renu Dhall**.....Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab****.....Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL**

Present: Mr. Arjun Veer Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner

Mr. Jasjit Singh, DAG, Punjab

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner, reiterating his case as stated on 12.6.2025, has argued that the genesis of the FIR in question is the factum of the FIR-complainant not liking his bride and therefore, the FIR has been registered. Learned counsel has submitted that the petitioner has no direct role in the FIR in question.
2. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Gurpreet Singh, has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation in terms of interim protection afforded on 12.6.2025 & is not required for custodial interrogation.
3. In view of the above, the instant petition is allowed. The interim order dated 12.6.2025, passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.



4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
5. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
6. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

5.8.2025
Ashwani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No