

**CRWP-6051-2025****1****117 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRWP-6051-2025****Date of Decision: 11.06.2025****KANCHAN AND ANR****.....PETITIONERS****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****...RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present: Ms. Deify Aggarwal, Advocate
Mr. Aakash Khurana, Advocate and
Ms. Jhanvi Garg, Advocate for
Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners are seeking a direction to the official respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger from private respondents No. 4 and 5. It is stated in the petition that petitioners have performed their marriage, which is against the will of private respondents No. 4 and 5 and they have apprehension that the private respondents might cause physical harm to them.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners being major, got married against the wishes of private respondents No. 4 and 5. Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents No. 4 and 5. Resultantly, a representation dated 02.06.2025 (Annexure P-8) was also made to respondent No.2 and 3- Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka and SHO, Police Station City-2, Abohar, District Fazilka wherein a

**CRWP-6051-2025****2**

mention has been made about the alleged threat perception. Learned counsel submits that despite the official respondents having been communicated about the alleged threat perception, no steps have been taken to come to the rescue of the petitioners. Learned counsel submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka to look into their representation/complaint dated 02.06.2025 (Annexure P-8) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Gautam Thapar, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

5. Keeping in view the mandate of Supreme Court in the case of **“Lata Singh V. State of U.P. and another”, JT 2006 (6) SC 173**, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

6. It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

11.06.2025*renu*

Whether speaking/ reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No