



246+251+252+253

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of Decision : 25-03-2025

1. CWP-21754-2018 (O&M)

THE DIVISIONAL FOREST OFFICER, PANIPAT

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

DEVI SINGH AND ORS.

.....Respondent(s)

2. CWP-30486-2018 (O&M)

THE DIVISIONAL FOREST OFFICER, PANIPAT

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

KALAWATI AND ORS.

.....Respondent(s)

3. CWP-30511-2018 (O&M)

THE DIVISIONAL FOREST OFFICER PANIPAT

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

KAVITA AND ORS

.....Respondent(s)

4. CWP-40406-2018 (O&M)

THE DIVISIONAL FOREST OFFICER PANIPAT

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

SETHPAL AND ORS

.....Respondent(s)

4(A). CWP-854-2019 (O&M)

THE DIVISIONAL FOREST OFFICER PANIPAT

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

NIRMALA AND ORS.

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, AAG Haryana
For the petitioner(s).

Mr. Mayank Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.1-Workman in CWP No.21754 of 2018.

Mr. Nihar Batra, Advocate for
Mrs. Abha Rathore,, Advocate
For respondent No.1-Workman in all other cases.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. Present bunch of five writ petitions involve common question of law in the context of common set of facts and thus they are being decided by a common order.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent(s) submits that the similar award was challenged by the petitioner by filing ***CWP No.22006 of 2017*** titled ***“Divisional Forest Officer, Kaithal Vs. Tekka @ Tek Ram and anr.”*** and other connected cases and the said writ petitions were decided by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court on ***05.09.2024*** hence, the present bunch of petitions needs to disposed of in the same terms.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent(s) submits that thereafter another Writ Petition No. 4311 of 2019 titled ***“Government of Haryana, Forest Department through its Divisional Forest Officer, Territorial, Kurukshetra, Vs. Sh. Gyan Ram and anr.”*** has been ***decided on 04.10.2024***, wherein also identical claim was raised and the same has been disposed of in terms of ***CWP No.22006 of 2017 titled “Divisional Forest Officer, Kaithal Vs. Tekka @ Tek Ram and anr.”, decided on 05.09.2024*** hence, the present bunch of petitions be also disposed of in the same terms.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) in all the cases has not been able to rebut the said fact.

5. Keeping in view the totality of the circumstances, the present bunch of petitions are disposed of in terms of *CWP No.22006 of 2017 titled “Divisional Forest Officer, Kaithal Vs. Tekka @ Tek Ram and anr.”, decided on 05.09.2024.*

6. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

7. Photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.

25-03-2025
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES
Whether reportable: NO