



102

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-34876-2024
Date of decision: 01.05.2025**

MMM

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Tarun Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surinder Kumar Dagar, DAG, Haryana.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that anticipatory bail was granted to respondent No.2 by the learned Additional Sessions Judge (Fast Track Special Court to try the offences of rape and under POCSO Act), Kurukshetra vide Annexure P-3 and the petitioner is alleging misuse of anticipatory bail but she has not filed any application before the same Court which had granted anticipatory bail to respondent No.2 i.e. Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, (Fast Track Special Court to try the offences of rape and under POCSO Act), Kurukshetra seeking cancellation of anticipatory bail and therefore, he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition in order to enable the petitioner to invoke the remedy available to her in accordance with law by moving an appropriate application before the learned



Additional Sessions Judge, (Fast Track Special Court to try the offences of rape and under POCSO Act), Kurukshetra, who had granted the concession of anticipatory bail to respondent No.2.

2. Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

01.05.2025
Chetan Thakur

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No