



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-28165-2022

Date of Decision : 18.11.2025

PALWINDER SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Bikramjit Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. P.S.Pahwa, Advocate,
for respondent no.5.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. Through the instant writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a challenge is thrown to the order Annexure P-2 passed by respondent no.3, and subsequent renewal permit No.306/Reg./RTA/SB/FZR/96 up to 07.03.2026, which was expired on 07.03.2021, on Makhu Mallawala Route in favour of respondent no.5.

2. Learned counsel for respondent no.5, raised a preliminary objection that the petitioner has an alternate statutory remedy under the apt provisions of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988.

3. Faced with above, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be granted permission to withdraw the instant petition, with

liberty to file an apt motion for redressal of grievance of the petitioner, before the learned appellate authority concerned. However, he requests that a *mandamus* be passed upon the appellate authority concerned, to decide the appeal, so to be preferred, in a time bound manner.

4. In view of the above, the instant petition is **dismissed as withdrawn.**

5. However, it is directed that in case, the petitioner files a statutory appeal before the learned appellate authority concerned, within a period of four weeks from the date of passing of this order, the latter concerned, shall make all its endeavours to decide the same, within a period of four months thereafter, after giving an adequate opportunity of hearing to all the parties concerned.

6. Alongwith the said appeal, if, an application seeking seeking condonation of delay, if any, is filed, the same shall be considered most sympathetically, considering the fact that the petitioner, under a *bona fide* impression, had filed a misconceived motion before this Court.

November 18, 2025
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No