



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(243)

**CRWP-5942-2025
Decided on :24.07.2025**

Ramandeep Kaur And Anr

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present:- Ms. Aarti Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

SUMEET GOEL, JUDGE (Oral)

1. The petition in hand has been preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India with the following substantive prayer(s):-

“Issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus to directing the respondent no.2 to3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and to restrain the private respondent No. No. 4 to 6 not to harass or interfere in the peaceful married life of the petitioners. Issuance of appropriate directions to the respondent No.2 to 3 to provide protection to the life and liberty to the petitioners from the hands of private respondent No. No. 4 to 6. Any other order or direction whichever this Hon'ble Court deems fit in view of the present facts and circumstances may also be issued. Further prayed that petitioners may kindly be exempted from filing the certified/typed copy of the Annexures.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner after arguing for sometime has limited his prayer by stating that he would be satisfied, for the *nonce*, if a direction is issued to respondent No2-Commissioner of Police Ludhiana, District Ludhiana, to consider and take a conscious decision on the representation dated 01.06.2025 made by the petitioner (copy whereof has been annexed as Annexure P-3 with the petition in hand) in a time-bound manner.

3. Status report dated 23.07.2025 in the form of an affidavit of Kulwant Singh Mann, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division



Dhariwal, District Gurdaspur has been filed on behalf of respondent No.1 to 3-State. The same is taken on record.

4. Learned State counsel has urged that he has no objection in case limited prayer made by the petitioner, for decision of his representation dated 01.06.2025 (Annexure P-3), is directed for.

5. Keeping in view the nature of the litigation involved in the present petition, the requirement to serve respondent No.4 to 6 stands dispensed with.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the rival party(s) and upon perusal of the paper-book, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the petition in hand with the following directions:

(i) Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana is mandated to look into the representation dated 01.06.2025 (Annexure P-3) moved by the petitioner, objectively consider the grievance(s) raised therein & take ratiocinated decision thereupon within a period of 15 days from today. Said decision be conveyed to the petitioner within 7 days thereafter.

(ii) No order as to costs.

July 24, 2025
jatn

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No