



CWP-22568-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 13.11.2025

....Petitioner

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal cum Labour Court, U.T.,
Chandigarh and anotherRespondents

CWP-22503-2025 (O&M)

....Petitioners

Sukhdev Singh and anotherRespondents

CWP-22566-2025 (O&M)

....Petitioner

The Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal cum Labour Court, U.T.,
Chandigarh and othersRespondents

CWP-22619-2025 (O&M)

....Petitioner

The Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal cum Labour Court, U.T.,
Chandigarh and othersRespondents

CWP-23099-2025 (O&M)

....Petitioners

Deepak Kumar and anotherRespondents

6) **CWP-23105-2025 (O&M)**

DB Corp. Ltd. and anotherPetitioners

Versus

Navjeet Singh and anotherRespondents

7) **CWP-23372-2025 (O&M)**

Dainik Bhaskar Corporation LimitedPetitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal cum Labour Court, U.T.,
Chandigarh and anotherRespondents

8) **CWP-23378-2025 (O&M)**

Dainik Bhaskar Corporation LimitedPetitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal cum Labour Court, U.T.,
Chandigarh and anotherRespondents

9) **CWP-23687-2025 (O&M)**

Dainik Bhaskar Corporation LimitedPetitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal cum Labour Court, U.T.,
Chandigarh and othersRespondents

10) **CWP-23703-2025 (O&M)**

Dainik Bhaskar Corporation LimitedPetitioners

Versus

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal cum Labour Court, U.T.,
Chandigarh and othersRespondents

11)

CWP-26262-2025 (O&M)

Dainik Bhaskar Corporation Limited

....Petitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal cum Labour Court, U.T.,
Chandigarh and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh Khurana, Advocate,
for the petitioners
(in CWPs-22568, 22566, 22619, 23372, 23378, 23687,
23703 and 26262-2025)

Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioners (in CWPs- 22503, 23099, 23105-2025).

Mr. Jatinderjit Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.2 in CWP-22619-2025).

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners as well as respondent No.2 (in CWP-22619-2025), are *ad idem* that, the issue raised in this bunch of writ petitions has already been decided in **“D.B.Corp. Ltd. & Another vs. Davinder Kumar & Another” (CWP-21171-2024, decided on 19.09.2024)**, vide which, the matter was remanded to the Industrial Tribunal concerned, for re-consideration on the issue of classification.

2. However, learned counsel for the petitioners submit that all other issues, including legal issues, as raised in the instant petitions, shall remain open for the petitioners to agitate, in case, they fetch grievance from the order, which shall be passed by learned Industrial Tribunal concerned.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent-workman extends no objection to the prayer made above.

4. As per office report, in most of the cases, service is complete, but none has caused appearance on behalf of the respondent-workmen. Since, the petitions at hand, as referred to above, is covered by the decision (supra), this Court finds no reason to keep the same pending, awaiting appearance on behalf of the respondents-workmen.

5 Accordingly, in view of the consensus arrived at between learned counsel for the parties, these writ petitions are **remitted** to the learned Industrial Tribunal concerned, to re-consider the status of the petitioners qua classification, in terms of report of Majithia Wage Board.

6. **Disposed of** accordingly.

7. All pending application(s), if any, also stand **disposed** of accordingly.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of the connected cases.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

12.11.2025
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No