



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-31674-2025**

**Date of Decision:06.08.2025**

Pradeep Kumar

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**

Present : Mr. Ketan Antil, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajeev Sidhu, Sr.DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)**

1. This is a petition preferred under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.91, dated 17.06.2024, under Section 409 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, Section 120-B of IPC, added later on, registered at Police Station Baragudha, District Sirsa.

2. While granting the concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court on 05.06.2025, the following contentions were noticed by this Court and the same have been reproduced below:-

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated as he has not been named in the present FIR, wherein specific allegations for embezzlement have been made against the co-accused, namely, Jai Singh only, who has already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 20.05.2025. He further submits that incident pertains to period between*

*13.01.2021 to 12.09.2021, whereas petitioner is now been retired and during earlier investigation, for similar allegations, he was declared innocent. He has referred to affidavit dated 01.04.2024 filed by DSP, Sirsa before this Court, mentioned in para No.3 of the trial Court’s order, vide which the bail application of the petitioner was declined, wherein it was mentioned that no incriminating material was found against the petitioner. He also submits that after the gap of more than four years, now SIT has been constituted by police authorities, who have already declared the petitioner innocent and on the basis of the same, now again the petitioner is being implicated on same set of allegations”.*

3. During the course of arguments,learned counsel for the petitioner has reiterated the same arguments and further submits that the petitioner shall give his specimen handwriting and signatures on the date and time, which may be notified by the IO of the present case to the petitioner.
4. Learned State counsel also submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.
5. In view of the above statement made by learned counsel for the parties, the interim order dated 05.06.2025 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.

06.08.2025  
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)  
JUDGE

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |