

2025:PHHC:118940



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

SR. NO.113

CWP-17172-2025

DATE OF DECISION:03.09.2025

PARWINDER SINGH

...PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

PUNJAB AGRICULTURE UNIVERISTY LUDHIANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S. SHEKHAWAT

Present: Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate
for the petitioner.

N.S. SHEKHAWAT, J.

The petitioner has filed the present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to reinstate/regularise his services, as similarly placed persons have been allowed to continue their services and their services have been regularised.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that vide order (Annexure P-1), several employees, who were juniors to the petitioner, have been appointed for an indefinite period, whereas the petitioner has been victimized without any justification. He next submits that the petitioner had submitted a representation dated 24.03.2025 (Annexure P-2) to the respondent, however, no decision has been taken thereon so far. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, the petitioner will be

satisfied in case appropriate directions are issued to the respondents to decide his representation (Annexure P-2) in a time bound manner.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and after going through the case file, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-2) of the petitioner by passing a speaking order thereon after affording an opportunity of hearing to both the parties, strictly as per relevant rules, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case, the petitioner is found entitled to any benefit, the same shall be released forthwith.

03.09.2025
mks

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES / NO
Whether Reportable: YES / NO