



301
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-34760-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.02.2025

Joga Singh and others
...Petitioners
Versus
State of Punjab
...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Vinod K. Kaushal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Shiva Khurmi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Charitr Kadyan, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 to 5.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J.

The prayer in the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of an FIR No.94 dated 13.07.2017 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 307, 323, 148, 149, 427 IPC, 1860 (Section 307 IPC deleted later on) at Police Station Rahon, District Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 27.05.2024 (Annexure P-2) entered into between the parties.

2. Learned State counsel in compliance of order dated 29.11.2024 has filed status report by way of affidavit of Raj Kumar, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Nawanshahr, District SBS Nagar, on behalf of the respondent No.1-State and the same is taken on record subject

2025.PHHC:028642



to all just exceptions. Copy given to learned counsel opposite.

3. Learned State counsel has at the outset opposed the prayer made by the petitioners for quashing of the FIR in question on the basis of a compromise arrived at between them and the respondents No.2 to 5 in view of it being a case of partial quashing which is contrary to the law laid down by a Division Bench of this Court in **CRM-M-48043-2023** titled as '**Rakesh Das Vs. State of Haryana and another**', decided on 12.11.2024.

4. In view of the fact that, it is a case of partial quashing, the instant petition is dismissed.

27.02.2025

Satyawan

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No