



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

221

CRM-M-35634 of 2025
Date of decision: 15.07.2025

Deepak

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present : Mr. Vikas Saroha, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, AAG, Haryana.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of BNSS of 2023 in case FIR No.430 dated 31.10.2020, under Sections 302, 201, 34 IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Ballabgarh, Faridabad, Haryana.

2. The case of the prosecution is that petitioner alongwith co-accused namely Vijay Pal, Hem Pal, Arun Partap, Rahul and Akash have caused injuries with stones and a broken slab of cement to Akshay, who was later declared dead in the hospital.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no specific role has been attributed to the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody for the last 4 years, 7 months and 26 days. Trial is likely to take a long time for its conclusion and continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, therefore, the petitioner be released on regular bail.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate in the Court today, which is taken on record. Learned State counsel



vehemently opposed the prayer for granting concession of bail to the petitioner. As per custody certificate dated 12.07.2025, the petitioner is in custody for the last 4 years, 7 months and 26 days.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. In view of the above submission of learned counsel for the parties and considering the custody period undergone by the petitioner; the fact that trial is likely to take a long time, the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is hereby ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

9. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail.

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

15.07.2025
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No