



235 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRA-S-1909-2025

Date of Decision:15.07.2025

Manish Kumar alias Jat Ram

...Appellant

vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Gourav Jain, Advocate
 for the appellant.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. At the very outset, learned State counsel submits that the SHO, Police Station Bhattu Kalan had informed respondents No.2 and 3/victims in writing with regard to the pendency of the present case before this Court, however, there is no representation on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3.

2. The appellant has filed the present appeal under Section 14-A (2) of the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (for short 'SC & ST Act') against the impugned order dated 20.05.2025 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Fatehabad, whereby the application filed by the appellant for grant of regular bail was ordered to be dismissed in a case FIR No.145 dated 12.06.2024 under Sections 147, 148, 149, 323, 324, 506 of IPC, Section 25 of Arms Act and Section 3(1)(r)(s) of SC/ST Act, at Police Station Bhattu Kalan.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant has vehemently argued that the present appellant was arrested in the present case on 05.07.2024. Even all the

injuries suffered by the injured in the present case have been declared to be simple in nature. He further referred to the orders (Annexure P-2 and P-4), whereby, similarly placed co-accused, namely, Akash and Surender have been granted the concession of bail by this Court as well as by the trial Court.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the appellant on the ground that four more cases were ordered to be registered against the appellant. However, he admits that the injuries suffered by the injured in the present case have been declared to be simple in nature.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. The appellant was arrested in the present case on 05.07.2024 and is in custody for the last over 01 year. Even the injuries suffered by both the injured, namely, Ajay and Rohit have been declared to be simple in nature. Moreover, similarly placed co-accused, namely, Surender has been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court, vide order dated 29.04.2025 (Annexure P-4) passed in CRA-S-4264-2024.

7. Thus, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present appeal is allowed and the appellant is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

15.07.2025
hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No