

2025:PHHC:020111



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

260

CWP-18922-2020 (O & M)
Date of decision: 11.02.2025

Neena Chalana

....Petitioner

Versus

Senior Accounts Officer

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Rajeev Kawatra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate and
Mr. Sachit Katoch, Advocate,
for respondent No.2-SBI.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer made in the present petition is for quashing the demand/recovery notice dated 19.09.2020, Annexure P-5, issued by respondent No.2.

2. Learned counsel submits that premise of the aforesaid notice is that it was during the ongoing audit by the Finance Department, Punjab. Govt., the account of the petitioner was scrutinized and in pursuance whereof the excess enhanced basic pension was to be recovered, which he states that respondent No.1 in para 4 of the reply dated 31.08.2021, filed by way of affidavit of Sr. Deputy Accountant General (Pension) has stated

that no direction had been given to respondent No.2 regarding recovery of excess payment of family pension.

3. Learned counsel for the parties pray for disposal of the petition, in terms of **Simerjit Kaur vs. State of Punjab and others**, CWP-34539-2019, decided on 01.05.2024, alongwith other connected petitions.

4. Ordered accordingly.

11.02.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No