



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5950-2025

Date of decision : 16.06.2025

SHARDA AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present : Ms. Niraj Chandel, Advocate
for the petitioners (through V.C.).

Mr. Akhil Kamra, AAG, Punjab.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Status report by way of affidavit of Devinder Kumar, PPS, Additional Deputy Commissioner of Police, Sub Division-North, Ludhiana has been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, today in Court. The same is taken on record.

2. The stand of the authorities has been canvassed in Para Nos.4 & 5 of the status report, which reads as under:

“4. That Preliminary Inquiry on the above noted Application of the petitioners was conducted by ASI Jaspal Singh of P.S.Jodhewal, Ludhiana. During the inquiry, spot was inspected by the said ASI and query was made from the nearby people. Call Details of the mobile phone numbers of the parties was obtained and perused. Ultimately it was revealed that the parties had money dispute as respondent No.5 claimed that petitioner No.1 owed her Rs.15.Lac and in order to settle the matter, petitioner no.1 herself had called

respondent no.5 to her house and this fact has also been proved from the Call Details of the parties. Accordingly as per previous telephonic talk between the parties, respondent No.5 along with respondents No.8, 9 and one Neelam had gone to the house of the petitioners to talk the about money dispute but during conversation in the house of the petitioners, the parties started clashing with each other and caused simple injuries to each other. Thus the said respondents No.5, 8, 9 and Neelam had not gone to the house of the petitioners with an intention to fight and rather they had gone with intention to talk as previously agreed by them on telephone but during conversation, they clashed and caused simple injuries to each other.

However, the injuries caused by respondents No.5, 8, 9 and Neelam to petitioners No.1, 3 and 4 as per MLRS of petitioners No.1, 3 and 4, are simple in nature which constitute offence u/s 115 (2) of BNS which is a Non-Cognizable offence and therefore GDR No.41 dated 26.05.2025 has been lodged in this regard. However, there is absolutely no danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners from the private respondents.

5. That similarly respondent No.9 and Neelam had also sustained simple injuries from the hands of the petitioners in the same occurrence which constitute offence u/s 115 (2) of BNS which is a Non- Cognizable offence and therefore GDR No.23 dated 08.06.2025 has also been lodged.”

- 3. In view thereof, no further action is required.
- 4. The instant petition is disposed off accordingly.

June 16, 2025				(Pankaj Jain)
Dpr				Judge
	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No	
	Whether reportable	:	Yes/No	