



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

135

CRM-M-40476-2025 (O&M)

Decided on : 23.12.2025

Sanjeev Kumar

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Arnav Ghai, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)**CRM-52269-2025**

I. This is an application filed u/s 528 of BNSS, 2023, seeking pre-ponement the date of hearing in the main case i.e. CRM-M-40476-2025 from 18.02.2026 to an early date.

II. In view of the averments made in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the date of hearing in the main case is advanced from 18.02.2026 to today itself, i.e., 23.12.2025.

III. CRM stands **disposed of**.

CRM-M-40476-2025

1. In view of the orders of even date passed in **CRM-52269-2025**, main case is taken up for hearing today itself.

2. Instant second petition has been filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS), seeking quashing of FIR No. 165 dated 16.09.2021, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 201 of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station City Moga, District Moga (Annexure P-1), along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.



In the alternative, a direction has also been sought to club the trial of FIR No. 165 dated 16.09.2021 (supra) with FIR No. **135 dated 22.03.2022**, registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Sohna, District Mohali (Annexure P-11), on the ground that both FIRs have arisen out of the same series of transactions and continuation of proceedings in both cases may prejudice the petitioner.

3. At the very outset, learned State counsel informs the Court that the proceedings in FIR No. 165 dated 16.09.2021 (supra) have already been concluded and the petitioner therein stands convicted by the learned trial Court, i.e., the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Moga, vide judgment dated **22.12.2025**, and has also been sentenced vide order of even date.

Learned State counsel, therefore, submits that in view of final adjudication of the proceedings in one of the cases, neither of the prayers survives, and accordingly, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

4. The aforesaid factual position is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. In view of the above, the present quashing petition stands disposed of as **infructuous**.

Pending crl. Misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

December 23, 2025

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No