



**208-3 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
 AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-2045-2018

Date of Decision: 24.07.2025

Madan Lal

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate and
 Mr. Sarfaraz Gill, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Shashank Bhandari, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of notice dated 07.11.2017 whereby he has been ordered to retire at the age of 55 years.
2. The petitioner is assailing the retirement order dated 07.11.2017 (Annexure P-1) on different grounds.
3. A period of more than 7 years from the date of impugned order has passed away. In the absence of impugned order, the petitioner was entitled to serve for three more years. The petitioner was working on a pensionable post. He must have received pension for the period from 2017 to 2020. He has not worked during 2017 to 2020, however, has received pension which might be 50% of last drawn salary. In such

circumstance, no interference is warranted.

4. Dismissed.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

24.07.2025
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No