



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

209

CWP-25750-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 25.03.2025

Suraj Bhan (since deceased) through his LRs

...Petitioner

VERSUS

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Addl. Solicitor General of India with
Ms. Neha Sharma, Central Panel Counsel,
Ms. Neha Dalal, Advocate and Mr. Sahil Garg, Advocate,
for respondent-UOI.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

CM-10189-CWP-2022

Prayer in the application is for bringing on record the LRs of the petitioner in the main petition.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and the LRs of the deceased-petitioner are impleaded in the present case for the purpose of pursuing the main case only.

Amended Memo of Parties is taken on record.

Main case:

Challenge in the present writ petition is to the order dated 31.05.2013, whereby the claim of the petitioner for grant of disability



pension was rejected merely on the ground that there are no Rules as regards the same.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had passed away during the pendency of the proceedings. He contends that despite ardent efforts, the LR's of the petitioner are not coming forth to extend any further instruction in the matter. It seems that they are seemingly not interested in pursuing the instant writ petition any further.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

Liberty is, however, granted to the counsel for the petitioner to seek revival of the present petition, in case the grievance still subsists.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

25.03.2025
Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No