



275

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31555-2025

DECIDED ON: 08.07.2025

BALJIT SINGH AND ANOTHER

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajiv Verma, Senior DAG Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 has been invoked seeking directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to direct the respondents No.4 to 11, not to harass and humiliate and to stop the petitioners and their family members from harvesting their standing crop with the further prayer for issuance of a directions to take action against the erring officials, who failed to discharge their duty and misusing the police machinery and power.

On perusal of reply dated 14.06.2025, it is clear that the petitioners have already harvested the wheat crop standing on the disputed land. The said fact is supported by the recorded statement of the village Sarpanch, Davinder Singh, who has categorically affirmed that the petitioners had indeed completed the harvesting of their wheat crop. Para No. 3 of the reply is relevant, which reads as under:-

“3. That in this regard, it is respectfully submitted that the information regarding the present matter was sought from the S.H.O., P.S Doraha. As per the information received from S.H.O. P.S Doraha, the facts have come to light that as per DDR no.30 dated 12-06-2025, the police officials of P.S Doraha visited the village Chankoinan Khurd, at the agricultural land, where the wheat crop was standing. It was found at the spot that the petitioners had already harvested the wheat crop standing on the disputed land. It is pertinent to mention here that the Sarpanch of village, namely Davinder Singh son of Prem Singh, R/o Chankoyian Khurd recorded his statement and verified about the fact that the petitioners having already harvested the wheat crop. True translation of DDR no.30 dated 12-06-2025 is Annexure R1/T. True translation of statement of Davinder Singh is Annexure R2/T.”

In the light of the aforementioned reply, it is evident that the petitioners’ grievance regarding the harvesting of their wheat crop has been resolved. As far as their other grievance seeking action against respondents No. 4 to 11 for allegedly failing to perform their duties, is concerned, an alternative remedy is available under Section 175(3) of the BNSS, 2023.

The petitioners are at liberty to approach the appropriate court to avail alternative remedy available to them, in accordance with the law.

In the afore-said terms, the present petition is disposed off.

08.07.2025
Sham

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No