



CWP-18966-2020

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(219)

CWP-18966-2020

Date of Decision : 24.01.2025

Partap Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

PSPCL and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. L.S. Mann, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhilaksh Grover, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 3-PSPCL.

Mr. Karan Singh Verka, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. The instant petition is a misconceived motion, inasmuch as, despite the petitioner being seized of an alternate and efficacious statutory remedy for redressal of his grievance, and without approaching the competent authorities concerned, i.e. Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum (CGRF), has sidetracked the said remedy, and has straightway accessed this Court, through instituting the instant writ petition.

2. In such circumstances, this Court refrains from exercising its power, as envisaged under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India. Accordingly, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**.

3. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to approach the competent authorities concerned, i.e. Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum

30 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order/judgment.

4. In case, the petitioner approaches the authority concerned within the stipulated period, the latter shall make an effort to decide the complaint most expeditiously.
5. **Disposed of** accordingly.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

January 24, 2025
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No