



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(113)

CWP No. 16983 of 2025

Date of Decision : 01.07.2025

Rajeshwar Sharma and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that the petitioners are entitled for the benefit of the higher pension keeping in view the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in ***R.C. Gupta and others Vs. Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Employees Provident Fund Organisation and others***, (2018) 14 SCC 809, which benefit is not being granted to the petitioner and that too without any valid justification though the law on the said issue has already been settled.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 24.04.2025 (Annexure P-5) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.



3. Keeping in view the prayer raised, the respondents are directed to decide the legal notice dated 24.04.2025 (Annexure P-5) in case the same has been received and is still pending consideration, within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order.

4. Present petition is disposed of accordingly.

July 01, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No