

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

272

CR-3398-2022
Date of Decision:03.02.2025

GAGANDEEP SINGH GIDDA

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

M/S DHARMINDRA BROTHERS AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Dushyant Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Saini, Advocate for
Mr. Mohit Garg, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. Counsel for respondent No.2/tenant states that the petition has become infructuous as the tenant has vacated the premises and handed over the vacant possession to the petitioner.
2. Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.
3. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to seek revival of the petition, in case the statement is found to be incorrect.

03.02.2025
sheetal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No