



-1-

110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-21367-2025

Date of decision:-15.09.2025

Mishri

...Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and anr.

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present : Mr. Sunil K. Tandon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. Instant petition has been filed, inter alia, for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents to pay compensation to the petitioner on account of death of his son, Mukesh Kumar, due to electrocution.

2. Counsel submits that on 20.07.2016, petitioner's son along with his colleague were working in Sachdeva College. They were carrying an iron ladder, which came in contact with low hanging 11 KV electric line passing over the main gate. He submits that both of them sustained injuries and were declared dead at Government Hosptial,



-2-

110

Kharar. He has made a reference to the death certificate as well as the postmortem report, Annexure P2, to assert that the death was due to electrocution. Counsel submits that a DDR, Annexure P3, was recorded on the same day and petitioner submitted representations for grant of compensation. By referring to policy dated 08.12.2023, Annexure P8, counsel submits that despite the fact that the Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. has framed a policy for grant of compensation to various categories of persons in case of fatal and, non-fatal accidents due to electrocution, representations submitted by the petitioner have not been dealt with.

3. Issue notice of motion to the respondents.

4. Mr. Lovepreet Singh Sidhu, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondents.

5. Given the nature of order proposed to be passed, this Court does not deem it necessary to call response from the respondents.

6. From the averments made in the writ petition, it transpires that various representations have been submitted by the petitioner, which are pending. In view thereof, writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to decide the representation dated 15.02.2024, Annexure P7, within a period of four months from the date of communication of copy of this Court.

7. It is clarified that in case the respondents-authorities do not accede to the request for grant of compensation, a detailed order shall be



110

passed assigning reasons for its rejection.

15.09.2025
Brij

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No