



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34278-2024

Date of Decision:13.01.2025

Bhavneet Kaur Alang

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and Anr.

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Rajeev Duggal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sheenu Sura, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Rajesh Nain, Advocate
for the complainant.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.198, dated 22.06.2024, under Sections 406 and 120 of IPC, Police Station Israna, District Panipat (Annexure P-1).

2. While issuing notice of motion, the following interim order was passed:-

As per allegations of the complainant-respondent No.2, jewellery articles around 10 tolas were given to the petitioner alongwith co-accused by the complainant. When petitioner alongwith co-accused did not return the jewellery articles, then a compromise took place between the parties wherein it was resolved to return the jewellery articles upto 31.08.2023 but the jewellery articles have not been returned till 31.08.2023. The jewellery articles are yet to be recovered from the possession of

the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The petitioner is working in Bank of Baroda and has never visited to the house of the complainant-Suman and no such occasion has arisen for the petitioner to take jewellery from the complainant or her family members. He further submits that husband of the petitioner has financially helped the complainant-respondent No.2 from time to time. The agreement if any is made in police station with the husband of the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 19.07.2024 passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.
4. Learned State counsel also submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.
5. In view of the above statement made by learned counsel for the parties, the interim order dated 19.07.2024 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S/438(2) of Cr.P.C.

13.01.2025

hitesh

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

: Yes/No
: Yes/No