

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

207

CWP-25535-2016
Decided on : 31.10.2023

Ranjit Dubey

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

PRESENT: Mrs. Vikas Suman Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Ashok K. Jindal, Advocate
for respondent No. 4.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that she has no instructions from the petitioner and she be allowed to withdraw from the case.
2. Keeping in view the fact that learned counsel does not intend to present the petitioner any further, she is allowed to withdraw from the case.
3. As the petitioner is not being represented by any counsel and he is not present in person, it can be safely presumed that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present writ petition.
4. Dismissed for non-prosecution with liberty to the petitioner to revive the present petition by filing appropriate application.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

31.10.2023
Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No