



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

171

CWP-16896-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.05.2025

Ravneet Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

Karan Anand and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Ms. Ravneet Kaur petitioner in person.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(oral)

1. Through the instant petition, prayer is made for issuance of direction upon respondents No.2 & 3 to grant maintenance / alimony to the petitioner by deciding the pending cases of 2022, the detail of which are mentioned in writ petition, and also for summoning the record of the concerned Court, so as to find out the real reasons for delay in deciding the case on merits.

2. On perusal of the petition, it reflects that three cases i.e. HMA/1233/2023 (alimony and annulment of marriage), DV/155/2022 and case No. MNT/125/196/2022, are pending adjudication.

3. It is informed by the petitioner to this Court that one of the case under DV Act, has been dismissed for non-prosecution, and therefore, this Court should call for the record, and find out of the reasons, as to why the case has been dismissed for non-prosecution.

4. This Court has repeatedly requested the petitioner, to point out



from the zimini orders, so as to ascertain the real cause of the delay, and put a specific query as to how can a case, which is already dismissed for non-prosecution, a *mandamus* can be passed for deciding the same in a time bound manner. The petitioner insisted for calling for the record, and then adjudicate the matter.

5. This Court does not find any merit in the prayer, as it was the duty of the petitioner to establish before this Court at least *prima facie* case to grant for the asked for *mandamus*. Further, one of the case has already been dismissed for non-prosecution, and no *mandamus* can be passed for its early disposal. The petitioner herself is a practising Advocate, before this Court, therefore, there shall be no hindrance for her, to bring on record the relevant orders, so as to establish that delay is occurred either on account of respondents therein, or attributory to the Court concerned.

In summa, this Court does do not find merit in the instant petition, therefore, the same is **dismissed**.

29.05.2025

Satyawan

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No