

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

215

CWP-18768-2020
Date of Decision:25.08.2025

ROHIT GUPTA

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Siddharth Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Meghna Malik, Advocate
for respondent No.4 through VC.

Mr. Akashdeep Singh, Special Public Prosecutor,
for respondent No.5.

Mr. Rohit Sud, Advocate and
Ms. Kuljeet Kaur, Advocate
for respondents No.9, 10, 12 and 13.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. By making a reference to order dated 20.01.2023, Mr. Rohit Sud, counsel for respondents No.9, 10, 12 and 13, submits that petition has become infructuous as a committee has been constituted by the Supreme Court vide order dated 04.05.2022, Annexure R-1.
2. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Similar was the position on the previous three occasions. It seems that for the reason pointed out by Mr. Sud, no one is appearing on behalf of the petitioner.
3. Dismissed in default for non-prosecution.

25.08.2025
sheetal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No