



115

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5901-2025

Date of Decision: 02.06.2025

MALKIT KAUR AND ANOTHER

..... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Ms. Divya Narula, Advocate
for the petitioners (Through V.C.).

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, DAG, Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to provide adequate security and to protect their life and liberty.

2. The petitioner No.1 is a 34 years old lady and petitioner No.2 is a 22 years old man. They are in live-in relation. They have not solemnized marriage. Petitioner No.1 is already married with another person. She is mother of four children and have left her children in destitution. She is staying with petitioner No.2.

3. Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, DAG, Haryana who on advance notice is present in Court, on instructions from ASI Sanjay Kumar, submits that respondent No.4-Sarbjeet Singh has tendered his statement before the jurisdictional police authorities to the effect that their family has no objection with respect to decision of petitioner No.1 to stay with



petitioner No.2 despite her four children. They have assured that they will not interfere in her life, thus, there is no threat perception.

4. The petitioner No.1 is claiming that there is threat perception from respondent No.4-Sarbjee Singh and State is claiming that respondent No.4- Sarbjee Singh has already undertaken that he will not cause any prejudice to petitioners and has no objection to act and conduct of the petitioners.

5. In the wake of statement of learned State counsel, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

02.06.2025
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No