



130-CWP-16747-2025

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-16747-2025
Date of decision: 23.07.2025**

Dharmender Kumar @ Dharmender**Petitioner.**

Versus

State of Haryana and others**Respondents.**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY, JUDGE**

Present:- Mr. Neeraj Goel, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen S. Bhardwaj, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

.....

SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

This petition has been preferred by the petitioner/borrower challenging the impugned notice dated 18.03.2025 (Annexure P-6), which is an intimation by respondent No. 3/Naib Tehsildar-Cum-Executive Magistrate, Sohna, Gurugram, Haryana, for taking physical possession of the secured asset pursuant to the order dated 30.08.2024 passed by respondent No. 2/Additional District Magistrate, Gurugram, Haryana, under Section 14 of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002.

2. Mr. Lakshay Jindal, Advocate, on instructions from Mr. Mukul Sharma, authorised Officer of respondent No. 4, has entered appearance on behalf of respondent No. 4 and filed his vakalatnama in Court, the same is taken on record, subject to payment of cost of



130-CWP-16747-2025

Rs. 2,000/- in favour of the Punjab and Haryana High Court Bar, Association Lawyer's Family Welfare Fund. The cost be deposited within a period of 30 days.

3. Learned counsel for the rival parties do not dispute that the loan account stands regularized and therefore, the issue raised in this petition has become infructuous.

4. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

23.07.2025

preeti

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No