

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025.PHHC.074937



164+168

**CRWP-5860-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.05.2025.**

Raveena Kureshi @ Rubina and another

...Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

Present: Mr. Munish Raj, Advocate for the petitioners.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)

CRM-W-797-2025

Present application has been filed for placing on record representation dated 29.05.2025 as Annexure P-4.

Allowed as prayed for. Representation dated 29.05.2025 is taken on record as Annexure P-4, subject to all just exceptions.

CRWP-5860-2025

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to official respondents No.2 and 3 to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents No.4 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major, petitioner No.1 – Raveena Kureshi @ Rubina, aged 32 years and petitioner No.2 – Salman, aged 22 years and have got married on 17.05.2025, against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as

respondents No.4 to 7. The copies of 'Aadhaar' card of petitioners (Annexures /nikahnama has also been placed on record as Annexure P-3.

It has been further submitted that the private respondents are threatening to interfere in the marital life of the petitioners. Hence, they are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Arjun Lakhanpal, Addl. A.G. Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, the petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation dated 29.05.2025 (Annexure P-4) at the earliest and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

29.05.2025.

jyoti3

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	:	<i>Yes/ No</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	:	<i>Yes/ No</i>