



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

201

CWP-19380-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision : 05.03.2025

SANJAY DHIMAN AND ANOTHER

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

**MAINTENANCE TRIBUNAL CHAIRED BY SUB DIVISIONAL
MAGISTRATE CUM CHAIRMAN AND OTHERS**

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present :- Mr. Sarabjot Singh Cheema, Advocate for
Mr. Vishesh Chandhok, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. N. P. Bhardwaj, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner alongwith respondent No.3 are present in person.
2. Parties agree that they have already resolved their dispute amicably between themselves.
3. Learned counsel for the parties on the instructions from the respective parties submit that the senior citizen will not get the impugned order executed in case, the petitioner undertakes not to sell the property in question during their lifetime and in case in any urgency arises, the property has to be sold, while the senior citizens are alive, the due permission will be taken from them before selling the same.
4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-senior citizen submits that the parties who are residing in the property concerned

**CWP-19380-2022****:2:**

will live in a harmonious manner so that the petitioner takes care of the senior citizen at this stage of their life and further in case, the petitioner-son violates any of this condition liberty be given to the senior citizen to revive the present petition.

5. Learned counsel on behalf of the petitioner, after getting due instructions from the petitioner, who himself is present in Court, submits that all the conditions imposed by his father are acceptable to him and he undertakes to take care of his parents in a manner expected of a son. He further submits that as all the conditions of the senior citizen are acceptable, appropriate order be passed.

6. Keeping in view the above, as the senior citizen's condition has been accepted by the petitioner, who is present in Court, no further orders are required to be passed. It is stated that in case, the petitioner keeps on complying with the conditions stated hereinbefore, the senior citizen will not get the impugned order executed.

7. It is further directed that in case the petitioner violates any of his undertaking, the senior citizen will be at liberty to revive the present petition by filing the appropriate application. The petition stands disposed of by binding the parties to the terms of settlement.

8. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

05.03.2025

Rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes
No