



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

206

CWP-1961-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision :10.01.2025

Anju Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

The Kurukhetra University, Kurukshetra
and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Surender Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Amarjit Singh Virk, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. Ravi Verma, Advocate for respondent No.2.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that the petitioner appeared for the internal assessment for all the subjects of first and second Semesters but due to some inadvertent mistake on the part of the respondent-College, the correct internal assessment was not forwarded to the respondent-University, which has caused prejudice to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the University Roll No.5464339 was allotted to the petitioner for undergoing the examination of the first year as well as second year and the college Roll number of the petitioner was 4221 but while sending the marks of the internal assessment to the University, though, the University roll number of



the petitioner was correctly mentioned but the College roll number of another student was mentioned so as to award zero marks in the internal assessment as the said student never appeared in the internal assessment.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the candidate having Roll No.4220 did not appear in the examination and was not allotted even the University roll number and that is why an inadvertent mistake happened and the marks which were secured by the petitioner in the internal assessment were shown in front of the Roll No.4220 instead of Roll No. 4221 by mentioning the University roll number in front of Roll No.4220 instead of College Roll No.4221 hence, the said mistake needs to be corrected.

4. Upon notice of motion, the respondents have filed reply wherein, it has been stated that the candidate having College Roll No.4220 had left the College and was not even allotted the University roll number to appear in the examination for the academic course undergone by the petitioner as well as the said candidate but as there was an inadvertent mistake of recording of internal assessment marks correctly, the petitioner was awarded zero marks instead of the candidate having College Roll No.4220, who was not allotted even the University roll number to appear in the examination as the University examination roll number of the petitioner was inadvertently mentioned in front of the College Roll No.4220 so as to award zero marks to the petitioner instead of her entitled marks.

5. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent-College concedes the said mistake of forwarding incorrect mark sheet of internal assessment is on the part of the respondent-College.

6. Learned counsel for the respondent-University submits that the result has been prepared keeping in view the internal assessment marks forwarded by the College and whatever information was forwarded to the University has been tabulated and the result has been declared hence, the University cannot be blamed for anything as the mistake is attributable to the College.

7. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

8. The petitioner cannot be blamed for any mistake even if, the same was inadvertent on the part of the respondent-College. The respondent-College should have been vigilant enough as they are dealing with the career and life of the students and hence, while forwarding internal assessment marks to the University, they should have remained vigilant enough to record proper marks secured by the candidates rather than mentioning the University roll number of petitioner in front of the College roll number of another candidate, who had left the studies, while forwarding the internal assessment marks.

9. It is also not in dispute that internal assessment marks of the petitioner are still available with the respondent-College and the same can be forwarded to the University for declaring the entitled result of the petitioner for the academic course.

10. Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner was not at fault at any given point of time and rather has suffered at the hands of the respondent-College, the respondent-College is directed to immediately send the correct internal assessment of the petitioner to the respondent-University

CWP-1961-2018 (O&M) -4-

concerned within a period of 07 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order and the respondent-University is directed that whatever internal assessment is now sent by the College be taken into consideration and thereafter, the correct result of the petitioner be declared within a period of two weeks of the receipt of said internal assessment by modifying the earlier result.

11. Present writ petition is disposed of in above terms.
12. Civil miscellaneous application pending, if any, is also disposed of.

January 10, 2025
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No