



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.16645 of 2025
Date of Decision: 29.05.2025**

Om Parkash Sharma and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present:- Mr. Anil Kumar Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. Nishant Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

* * * *

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA J. (Oral)

1. Notice of motion.
2. Mr. Vivek Chauhan, learned Addl. Advocate General, Haryana, accepts the notice on behalf of the respondents.
3. Both the counsel are *ad-idem* that the issue raised in the instant petition stands finally adjudicated by this Court in a bunch of Writ Petitions in which lead case was ***CWP No.14627 of 2024*** titled as "***Mahabir Singh Tanwar vs. State of Haryana and others***" decided on 24.04.2025, in which this Court has held as under:-

"9. We have noticed the aforesaid submissions. However, the same would have no impediment in disposing of all these writ petitions as the claim of the writ petitions has to be decided finally now in terms of the order passed by the Supreme Court on 20.02.2025 in Miscellaneous application Dairy No.2400 of 2024 in



*Civil Appeal No.3933 of 2023 with connected miscellaneous applications titled as “**Union of India and another versus M. Siddaraj** (supra).*

10. We, therefore, dispose of all these writ petitions and allow them in the same terms as above and with further directions to the Administration-respondents to pass specific orders taking into consideration the facts of each case. For the said purpose, we allow the State Government to take decision within a period of two months henceforth as all of the petitioners are already retired and their retiral benefits would be accordingly required to be adjusted and released.

11. The State authorities shall also take into consideration any policy decision which the State Government may take with respect to the persons, who retired having put in more than six months and less than one year of service in their last year of tenure.”

4. In view thereto, we **dispose of** the present writ petition *mutatis mutandis* in terms of the order dated 24.04.2025 passed by this Court in **CWP No.14627 of 2024** (supra) and connected cases.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

May 29, 2025
seema

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No